



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/719,299	11/21/2003	David Gutierrez	16443SSUS02U	3908
34645	7590	11/02/2007	EXAMINER	
JOHN C. GORECKI, ESQ. P.O BOX 553 CARLISLE, MA 01741			TRAN, NGHI V	
		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		2151		
		NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
		11/02/2007	ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

john@gorecki.us

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/719,299	GUTIERREZ ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit	
Nghi V. Tran	2151	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 07/31/2007.
 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.
 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application.
 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
 6) Claim(s) 1-17 is/are rejected.
 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
 10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
 Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
 Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
 a) All b) Some * c) None of:
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date: _____ |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

1. This office action is in response to the amendment filed on July 31, 2007. No claims have been amended. No claims have been canceled. Therefore, claims 1-17 are presented for further examination.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

3. Claims 1-2 and 5-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Knight et al., United States Patent Application Publication Number 2004/0199618 (hereinafter Knight).

4. With respect to claims 1 and 10, Knight teaches a method of transporting a parcel of data [i.e. remote data replication, see abstract and paragraphs 0007-0012] by an intermediate network element [i.e. a storage router 22 and/or 28], the method comprising the steps of:

- engaging in a protocol exchange with at least one of a data source and a data target to schedule at least one of receipt of the data parcel from the data source and transmission of the data parcel to the data target [paragraphs 0039-0047];
- receiving for forwarding the parcel of data by the intermediate network element having network element storage [figs.1-3];
- storing the parcel of data in the network element storage in coordination with at least one of said data source and data target [figs.4-7]; and
- forwarding the parcel of data [paragraphs 0007-0012 and see abstract].

5. With respect to claims 2 and 11, Knight further teaches wherein the parcel is larger than 1 Gigabyte of data [i.e. terabit per second, paragraph 0024].

6. With respect to claims 5-7 and 12, Knight further teaches wherein the step of engaging in a protocol exchange enables the network element to participate in control of the data transmission between the data source and data target [figs. 1, 3, and 6].

7. With respect to claims 8 and 14, Knight further teaches coordinating the transfer of the parcel of data between the data source and data target [paragraphs 0027].

8. With respect to claims 9, 13, and 15-17, Knight further teaches managing the transfer of the parcel of data between the data source and data target [paragraphs

0001, 0012, 0030, and 0039].

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

9. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

10. Claims 3-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Knight as applied to claims 1 above, and further in view of Kekre, United States Patent Application Publication Number 2005/0050115 (hereinafter Kekre).

11. With respect to claims 3-4, Knight does not explicitly show wherein the step of receiving for forwarding takes place by receiving the parcel from a first link with a first bandwidth, wherein the step of forwarding takes place by transmitting the parcel of data on a second link with a second bandwidth, and wherein the first bandwidth is greater than the second bandwidth.

In a replication method, Kekre suggests wherein the step of receiving for forwarding takes place by receiving the parcel from a first link with a first bandwidth, wherein the step of forwarding takes place by transmitting the parcel of data on a second link with a second bandwidth, and wherein the first bandwidth is greater than the

second bandwidth [i.e. data may be replicated initially over a relatively shorter and higher bandwidth link, paragraph 0017 and see abstract].

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to modify Knight in view of Kekre by choosing greater than second bandwidth because this feature may be used to quickly and reliably replicate data to one or more secondary nodes [Kekre, paragraph 0017]. It is for this reason that one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention would have been motivated in order to reduce replication costs and write operation latency [Kekre, paragraph 0017].

Response to Arguments

12. Applicant's arguments filed July 31, 2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive because of the following: Knight teaches a method of transporting a parcel of data [i.e. remote data replication, see abstract and paragraphs 0007-0012] by an intermediate network element [i.e. a storage router 22 and/or 28], the method comprising the steps of: engaging in a protocol exchange with at least one of a data source and a data target to schedule at least one of receipt of the data parcel from the data source and transmission of the data parcel to the data target [paragraphs 0039-0047]; receiving for forwarding the parcel of data by the intermediate network element having network element storage [figs.1-3]; storing the parcel of data in the network element storage in coordination with at least one of said data source and data target [figs.4-7]; and forwarding the parcel of data [paragraphs 0007-0012 and see abstract].

13. In response to applicant's argument that Knight does not teach or suggest that a network element should handle a "parcel" of data by receiving the parcel, storing the parcel, and then forwarding the parcel, the examiner respectfully disagrees. Knight discloses a complete data replication solution [paragraph 0001] including replicated data [paragraph 0003]. "parcel" of data is nothing more than the replicated data.

14. In response to applicant's argument that Knight does not store parcels of data for transmission over the WAN, the examiner respectfully disagrees. Knight discloses store parcels of data for transmission over the WAN [paragraph 0027].

Conclusion

15. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

16. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nghi V. Tran whose telephone number is (571) 272-4067. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday and every other Friday (6:30-4:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Zarni Maung can be reached on (571) 272-3939. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Nghi Tran
Patent Examiner
Art Unit 2151


JOHN FOLI ANDREE
SUPERVISOR PATENT EXAMINER
MONDAY-FRIDAY 6:30AM-4:00PM

October 29, 2007
